

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,943	10/02/2001	Akio Enomoto	791 165	8702
75	90 06/17/2004		EXAM	INER
Stephen P Burr			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
Burr & Brown PO Box 7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Syracuse, NY	13261-7068		1746	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
055 4-15 0	09/937,943	ENOMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.33 after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will be a statutory be a statutory between the statutory period will be a statutory between the statuto	G(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S. C. 8 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.	. =	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-11,14-20,28 and 29</u> is/are rejected	ed.	
7) Claim(s) <u>3-5,12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	onority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	i the certified copies not receive	:a.
Attachment(s)		
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite
B) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/2/2001</u> .	6)	

Application/Control Number: 09/937,943

Art Unit: 1746

Page 2

1. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 8, line 7-8, the phrase "the negative electrode plate" is without proper antecedent basis.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 9-14, 16-20, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Suzuki et al. or Teramoto in view of Brennan, Coibion et al., Fairweather or Kelemen et al., or Sato et al.

Re claims 1 and 9, Teramoto and Suzuki are each cited disclosing a lithium secondary battery, comprising: a cylindrical battery case (2 In Suzuki and 12 in Teramoto) provided at both end portions (6, 6 in Suzuki and 15a, 15a in Teramoto) thereof with electrode caps having battery caps, internal terminals and external terminals (26A, 28A in Suzuki and not shown but inherent in Teramoto); and an electrode body (29 in Suzuki and 13 in Teramoto) impregnated with a nonaqueous electrolyte solution (see col. 4, line 38 in Suzuki and title of Teramoto) and contained in the battery case and including a positive electrode a negative electrode, and a separator the positive electrode and the negative electrode being wound or laminated through the separator; portions where said battery case is in contact with said electrode caps being brought into press-contact ("bead

Art Unit: 1746

process" in Suzuki and see col. 2, line 57 in Teramoto and col. 1, line 56) to form a caulked portions to execute sealing that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the R(body), being the diameter of a body part of said battery case and R(top) being the diameter of said caulked portion, fulfilling the relationship of R(body) > R(top). The patents to Coibion (fig. 1), Brennan (fig. 1), Fairweather, Kelemen and Sato (fig. 7) are each cited disclosing in a cells having caps/cover where there is an R(body) and an R(top), with the R(body) > the R(top). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the cap/cover in either Suzuki or Teramoto, to meet the "greater than" relationship as instantly claimed for the purpose of ensuring the prevention of electrolyte leaking (as in Fairweather) or since Brennan suggest that various R(body) and R(top) relationships (see fig. 1 versus either fig. 9 or fig. 15) may be substituted for one another. Re claims 2, 10 and 11, Brennan, Suzuki and Teramoto disclose the aluminum material. Re claim 6, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the materials as claimed and the same as taught by the corresponding structure in any piece of the applied prior art (see MPEP 2144.06). This is also applicable to the subject matter of claim 17, namely the battery capacity. Re claims 18-20, to have the battery intended for use with a vehicle is deemed to be an obvious matter of design (see MPEP 211.02). Nonetheless, Teramoto discloses the vehicle usage (see col. 1, line 33). Re claims 14 and 28, Teramoto and Suzuki disclose the pipe. Re claim 16, Teramoto, Suzuki, Sato, Kelemen and Coibion and discloses the squeezed portion as claimed. Re claim 29, Teramoto discloses the welding.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,943

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kita et al.

Claim 7 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the injection port. Kita discloses the injection port (4). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of either Suzuki or Teramoto, to include an injection port as taught by Kita, for the purpose of allowing the introduction of the

Page 4

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

electrolyte after the sealing of the battery.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Teramoto.

Re claim 8, note that Teramoto discloses an lithium secondary battery, comprising a cylindrical battery case provided with electrode caps (15a, 15a) at both end portions thereof; and an electrode body (13a) impregnated with a nonaqueous electrode solution and contained in the battery case and including a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and a separator (see col. 2, lines 38-52), the positive electrode and the negative electrode plate (*sic*) being wound or laminated through the separator; wherein, tip portions of said battery case and outer periphery portions of said electrode caps are brought into joining with squeezing processing, caulking, and welding (see BACKGROUND of INVENTION).

Application/Control Number: 09/937,943

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

- 7. Claims 3-5, 12 and 13 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 21-27 are allowed.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Tukawaki et al., Sandberg et al., Taakamura et al., Tucholski et al., Sugalski, Nagaura, Nakanishi, Satou et al., Hironaka et al., Watanabe et al., Shiue et al., Potter et al., Cook, Japan'367, Malay, and Audit et al., note the cell sealing means.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and some Saturdays from 5:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (571) 272-1700.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact the TEAM LEADER Ms. Nicol Scott (571) 272-1045.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746